

## Joshua Devries

---

From: NEIDHARDT, PAUL E LtCol USAF ACC 23 WG DET 1 OL A/CC  
[mailto:paul.neidhardt@us.af.mil]  
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 9:25 AM  
To: Tina Demostene; Joshua Devries  
Cc: 'Bill.Pable@deo.myflorida.com'; Kerry Godwin  
Subject: Osceola County JLUS 2012

Tina/Josh

I have finally made it through all the documents and have a few questions/comments

1. I know Osceola's concerns with the original 2010 JLUS and wished to update/modify it for the particular environment of Osceola County. I know that a lot of folks worked on the 2010 JLUS including the range commander, representatives from the US Air Force, Navy, and the Florida National Guard, many state agencies and environmental agencies and was finally sanctioned through the DoD's Office of Economic Adjustment as part of their Compatible Use Program. I think it would be better to call this an Update or Supplement to the original 2010 JLUS vs a replacement.
2. Although I know, based on the planning meetings, that the MBZ <115 (MIPA 3) areas were not going to be considered extremely important due to the low possibility of noise complaints, is there anyway to include this in TRN-10 just for the capability to reference it in the future if needed.
3. The 2012 JLUS references the need to change the lighting standards. Is there a standard that you want to follow? When you guys talked to the AF Safety folks, did they state that there is an AF lighting standard? Wouldn't it be good in the JLUS to define what standard (assuming there is one) will be followed?
4. I didn't notice anything stating that Landfills were not compatible with the MOA's or restricted airspace.
5. On page 20 at the top, it is stated " Osceola County has determined that providing additional recommendations for a perimeter buffer around the range boundary is no necessary.." Although I might agree that today, this statement is true who knows what the future holds. I am not the expert in REPI funding and similar initiatives for base buffering, but

it appears that this statement means Osceola County is not interested in this avenue of buffering and conservation.

6. There is a good amount of discussion on wind farms. The current operations at the range are not affected by wind farms, although if the F-16 used its radar more at our range it could impact them, based on the distance to the range. Honestly I don't know if Avon Park will ever see the F-35, but I do know that wind farms do impact some training opportunities for that airframe. A detailed study would need to be completed if one is proposed below the airspace. This should be included in the JLUS.

7. Can you please help me determine what is meant by Appendix D, para B #21 and Para C #26. Are you saying that airfields are compatible under the airspace?

I look forward to the meeting on Thursday.

//SIGNED//

PAUL E. NEIDHARDT, Lt Col, USAF

Det 1, 23 WG/CC

MacDill DSN: 968-4888 Comm: 813-828-4888

Avon Park DSN: 968-7196 Comm: 863-452-4196